

# Distance-regular graphs and the $q$ -tetrahedron algebra

Tatsuro Ito<sup>\*†</sup> and Paul Terwilliger<sup>‡</sup>

In honor of Eiichi Bannai on his 60th Birthday

## Abstract

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $b \neq 1$ ,  $\alpha = b - 1$ . The condition on  $\alpha$  implies that  $\Gamma$  is formally self-dual. For  $b = q^2$  we use the adjacency matrix and dual adjacency matrix to obtain an action of the  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ . We describe four algebra homomorphisms into  $\boxtimes_q$  from the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ ; using these we pull back the above  $\boxtimes_q$ -action to obtain four actions of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ .

**Keywords.** Tetrahedron algebra, distance-regular graph, quantum affine algebra, tridiagonal pair.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.** Primary: 05E30. Secondary: 05E35; 17B37.

## 1 Introduction

In [25] B. Hartwig and the second author gave a presentation of the three-point  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra via generators and relations. To obtain this presentation they defined a Lie algebra  $\boxtimes$  by generators and relations, and displayed an isomorphism from  $\boxtimes$  to the three-point  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra. The algebra  $\boxtimes$  has essentially six generators, and it is natural to identify these with the six edges of a tetrahedron. For each face of the tetrahedron the three surrounding edges form a basis for a subalgebra of  $\boxtimes$  that is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  [25, Corollary 12.4]. Any five of the six edges of the tetrahedron generate a subalgebra of  $\boxtimes$  that is isomorphic to the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra [25, Corollary 12.6]. Each pair of opposite edges of the tetrahedron generate a subalgebra of  $\boxtimes$  that is isomorphic to the Onsager algebra [25, Corollary 12.5]. Let us call these Onsager subalgebras. Then  $\boxtimes$  is the direct sum of its three Onsager subalgebras [25, Theorem 11.6]. In [20] Elduque found an attractive decomposition of  $\boxtimes$  into a direct sum of three abelian subalgebras, and he showed how these subalgebras are related to the Onsager

---

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Computational Science, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

<sup>†</sup>Supported in part by JSPS grant 18340022

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison WI 53706-1388 USA

subalgebras. In [35] Pascasio and the second author give an action of  $\boxtimes$  on the standard module of a Hamming graph. In [4] Bremner obtained the universal central extension of the three-point  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra. By modifying the defining relations for  $\boxtimes$ , Benkart and the second author obtained a presentation for this extension by generators and relations [2]. In [24] Hartwig obtained the irreducible finite-dimensional  $\boxtimes$ -modules over an algebraically closed field with characteristic 0.

In [30] we introduced a quantum analog of  $\boxtimes$  which we call  $\boxtimes_q$ . We defined  $\boxtimes_q$  using generators and relations. We showed how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  in roughly the same way that  $\boxtimes$  is related to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  [30, Proposition 7.4]. We showed how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to the  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  loop algebra in roughly the same way that  $\boxtimes$  is related to the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra [30, Proposition 8.3]. In [28] we considered an algebra  $\mathcal{A}_q$  on two generators subject to the cubic  $q$ -Serre relations.  $\mathcal{A}_q$  is often called the *positive part of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$* . We showed how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to  $\mathcal{A}_q$  in roughly the same way that  $\boxtimes$  is related to the Onsager algebra [30, Proposition 9.4]. In [30] and [31] we described the finite-dimensional irreducible  $\boxtimes_q$ -modules under the assumption that  $q$  is not a root of 1, and the underlying field is algebraically closed.

In the present paper we consider a distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  that has classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $b \neq 1$ ,  $\alpha = b - 1$ . The condition on  $\alpha$  implies that  $\Gamma$  is formally self-dual [5, p. 71]. For  $b = q^2$  we use the adjacency matrix and dual adjacency matrix to construct an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ . We describe four algebra homomorphisms from  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  to  $\boxtimes_q$ ; using these homomorphisms we pull back the above  $\boxtimes_q$ -action to obtain four actions of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ . Several well-known families of distance-regular graphs satisfy the above parameter restriction; for instance the bilinear forms graph [5, p. 280], the alternating forms graph [5, p. 282], the Hermitean forms graph [5, p. 285], the quadratic forms graph [5, p. 290], the affine  $E_6$  graph [5, p. 340], and the extended ternary Golay code graph [5, p. 359].

All of the original results in this paper are about distance-regular graphs. However, in order to motivate things and develop some machinery, we will initially discuss  $\boxtimes_q$  and its relationship to certain quantum groups. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define  $\boxtimes_q$  and mention a few of its properties. In Section 3 we recall how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . In Section 4 we discuss how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ . In Section 5 we recall how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to  $\mathcal{A}_q$ . In Section 6 we discuss the finite-dimensional irreducible  $\boxtimes_q$ -modules. In Section 7 we consider a distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  and discuss its basic properties. In Section 8 we impose a parameter restriction on  $\Gamma$  needed to construct our  $\boxtimes_q$ -module. In Sections 9, 10 we define some matrices that will be used to construct our  $\boxtimes_q$ -module. In Section 11 we display an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ ; Theorem 11.1 is the main result of the paper. In Section 12 we discuss how the above  $\boxtimes_q$ -action is related to the subconstituent algebra of  $\Gamma$ . In Section 13 we give some suggestions for further research.

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the field of complex numbers.

## 2 The $q$ -tetrahedron algebra $\boxtimes_q$

In this section we recall the  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra. We fix a nonzero scalar  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $q^2 \neq 1$  and define

$$[n]_q = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We let  $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$  denote the cyclic group of order 4.

**Definition 2.1** [30, Definition 10.1] Let  $\boxtimes_q$  denote the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra that has generators

$$\{x_{ij} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4, j - i = 1 \text{ or } j - i = 2\}$$

and the following relations:

- (i) For  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  such that  $j - i = 2$ ,

$$x_{ij}x_{ji} = 1.$$

- (ii) For  $h, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  such that the pair  $(i - h, j - i)$  is one of  $(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1)$ ,

$$\frac{qx_{hi}x_{ij} - q^{-1}x_{ij}x_{hi}}{q - q^{-1}} = 1.$$

- (iii) For  $h, i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  such that  $i - h = j - i = k - j = 1$ ,

$$x_{hi}^3x_{jk} - [3]_qx_{hi}^2x_{jk}x_{hi} + [3]_qx_{hi}x_{jk}x_{hi}^2 - x_{jk}x_{hi}^3 = 0. \quad (1)$$

We call  $\boxtimes_q$  the  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra or “ $q$ -tet” for short.

**Note 2.2** The equations (1) are the cubic  $q$ -Serre relations [33, p. 10].

We make some observations.

**Lemma 2.3** [30, Lemma 6.3] *There exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphism  $\varrho$  of  $\boxtimes_q$  that sends each generator  $x_{ij}$  to  $x_{i+1, j+1}$ . Moreover  $\varrho^4 = 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.4** [30, Lemma 6.5] *There exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphism of  $\boxtimes_q$  that sends each generator  $x_{ij}$  to  $-x_{ij}$ .*

### 3 The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

In this section we recall how the algebra  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . We start with a definition.

**Definition 3.1** [32, p. 122] Let  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  denote the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with generators  $K^{\pm 1}$ ,  $e^{\pm}$  and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} KK^{-1} &= K^{-1}K = 1, \\ Ke^{\pm}K^{-1} &= q^{\pm 2}e^{\pm}, \\ [e^+, e^-] &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The following presentation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  will be useful.

**Lemma 3.2** [29, Theorem 2.1] *The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is isomorphic to the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with generators  $x^{\pm 1}$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$  and the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x &= 1, \\ \frac{qxy - q^{-1}yx}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{qyz - q^{-1}zy}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{qzx - q^{-1}xz}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

An isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 3.1 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\pm 1} &\mapsto K^{\pm 1}, \\ y &\mapsto K^{-1} + e^-, \\ z &\mapsto K^{-1} - K^{-1}e^+q(q - q^{-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of this isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\pm 1} &\mapsto x^{\pm 1}, \\ e^- &\mapsto y - x^{-1}, \\ e^+ &\mapsto (1 - xz)q^{-1}(q - q^{-1})^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.3** [30, Proposition 7.4] *For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  to  $\boxtimes_q$  that sends*

$$x \mapsto x_{i,i+2}, \quad x^{-1} \mapsto x_{i+2,i}, \quad y \mapsto x_{i+2,i+3}, \quad z \mapsto x_{i+3,i}.$$

## 4 The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$

In this section we consider how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ . We start with a definition.

**Definition 4.1** [9, p. 266] The quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  is the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with generators  $K_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $e_i^{\pm}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_i K_i^{-1} &= K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \\ K_0 K_1 &= K_1 K_0, \\ K_i e_i^{\pm} K_i^{-1} &= q^{\pm 2} e_i^{\pm}, \\ K_i e_j^{\pm} K_i^{-1} &= q^{\mp 2} e_j^{\pm}, \quad i \neq j, \\ [e_i^+, e_i^-] &= \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ [e_0^{\pm}, e_1^{\mp}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(e_i^{\pm})^3 e_j^{\pm} - [3]_q (e_i^{\pm})^2 e_j^{\pm} e_i^{\pm} + [3]_q e_i^{\pm} e_j^{\pm} (e_i^{\pm})^2 - e_j^{\pm} (e_i^{\pm})^3 = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

The following presentation of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  will be useful.

**Theorem 4.2** ([27, Theorem 2.1], [42]) *The quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  is isomorphic to the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with generators  $x_i^{\pm 1}$ ,  $y_i$ ,  $z_i$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_i^{-1} = x_i^{-1} x_i &= 1, \\ x_0 x_1 &\text{ is central,} \\ \frac{q x_i y_i - q^{-1} y_i x_i}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{q y_i z_i - q^{-1} z_i y_i}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{q z_i x_i - q^{-1} x_i z_i}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{q z_i y_j - q^{-1} y_j z_i}{q - q^{-1}} &= x_0^{-1} x_1^{-1}, \quad i \neq j, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_i^3 y_j - [3]_q y_i^2 y_j y_i + [3]_q y_i y_j y_i^2 - y_j y_i^3 &= 0, \quad i \neq j, \\ z_i^3 z_j - [3]_q z_i^2 z_j z_i + [3]_q z_i z_j z_i^2 - z_j z_i^3 &= 0, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

An isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 4.1 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{\pm 1} &\mapsto K_i^{\pm 1}, \\ y_i &\mapsto K_i^{-1} + e_i^-, \\ z_i &\mapsto K_i^{-1} - K_i^{-1} e_i^+ q(q - q^{-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of this isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} K_i^{\pm 1} &\mapsto x_i^{\pm 1}, \\ e_i^- &\mapsto y_i - x_i^{-1}, \\ e_i^+ &\mapsto (1 - x_i z_i) q^{-1} (q - q^{-1})^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.3** For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  to  $\boxtimes_q$  that sends

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\mapsto x_{i,i+2}, & x_1^{-1} &\mapsto x_{i+2,i}, & y_1 &\mapsto x_{i+2,i+3}, & z_1 &\mapsto x_{i+3,i}, \\ x_0 &\mapsto x_{i+2,i}, & x_0^{-1} &\mapsto x_{i,i+2}, & y_0 &\mapsto x_{i,i+1}, & z_0 &\mapsto x_{i+1,i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* Compare the defining relations for  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  given in Theorem 4.2 with the relations in Definition 2.1.  $\square$

## 5 The algebra $\mathcal{A}_q$

In this section we recall how  $\boxtimes_q$  is related to the algebra  $\mathcal{A}_q$ . We start with a definition.

**Definition 5.1** Let  $\mathcal{A}_q$  denote the unital associative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra defined by generators  $x, y$  and relations

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 y - [3]_q x^2 y x + [3]_q x y x^2 - y x^3 &= 0, \\ y^3 x - [3]_q y^2 x y + [3]_q y x y^2 - x y^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 5.2** Referring to Definition 5.1, we call  $x, y$  the *standard generators* for  $\mathcal{A}_q$ .

**Note 5.3** [33, Corollary 3.2.6] The algebra  $\mathcal{A}_q$  is often called the *positive part* of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ .

**Proposition 5.4** [30, Proposition 9.4] For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  there exists a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras from  $\mathcal{A}_q$  to  $\boxtimes_q$  that sends the standard generators  $x, y$  to  $x_{i,i+1}, x_{i+2,i+3}$  respectively.

## 6 The finite-dimensional irreducible $\boxtimes_q$ -modules

In this section we recall how the finite-dimensional irreducible modules for  $\boxtimes_q$  and  $\mathcal{A}_q$  are related. We start with some comments. Let  $V$  denote a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . A linear transformation  $A : V \rightarrow V$  is said to be *nilpotent* whenever there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $A^n = 0$ . Let  $V$  denote a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module. This module is called *NonNil* whenever the standard generators  $x, y$  are not nilpotent on  $V$  [28, Definition 1.3]. Assume  $V$  is NonNil. Then by [28, Corollary 2.8] the standard generators  $x, y$  are semisimple on  $V$ . Moreover there exist an integer  $d \geq 0$  and nonzero scalars  $\alpha, \alpha^* \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the set of distinct eigenvalues of  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) on  $V$  is  $\{\alpha q^d, \alpha q^{d-2}, \dots, \alpha q^{-d}\}$  (resp.  $\{\alpha^* q^d, \alpha^* q^{d-2}, \dots, \alpha^* q^{-d}\}$ ). We call the ordered pair  $(\alpha, \alpha^*)$  the *type* of  $V$ . Replacing  $x, y$  by  $x/\alpha, y/\alpha^*$  the type becomes  $(1, 1)$ . Now let  $V$  denote a finite-dimensional irreducible

$\boxtimes_q$ -module. By [30, Theorem 12.3] each generator  $x_{ij}$  is semisimple on  $V$ . Moreover there exist an integer  $d \geq 0$  and a scalar  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$  such that for each generator  $x_{ij}$  the set of distinct eigenvalues on  $V$  is  $\{\varepsilon q^d, \varepsilon q^{d-2}, \dots, \varepsilon q^{-d}\}$ . We call  $\varepsilon$  the *type* of  $V$ . Replacing each generator  $x_{ij}$  by  $\varepsilon x_{ij}$  the type becomes 1. The finite-dimensional irreducible modules for  $\boxtimes_q$  and  $\mathcal{A}_q$  are related according to the following two theorems and subsequent remark.

**Theorem 6.1** [30, Theorem 10.3] *Let  $V$  denote a finite-dimensional irreducible  $\boxtimes_q$ -module of type 1. Then there exists a unique  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on  $V$  such that the standard generators  $x$  and  $y$  act as  $x_{01}$  and  $x_{23}$  respectively. This  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module is irreducible, NonNil, and type  $(1, 1)$ .*

**Theorem 6.2** [30, Theorem 10.4] *Let  $V$  denote a NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module of type  $(1, 1)$ . Then there exists a unique  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on  $V$  such that the standard generators  $x$  and  $y$  act as  $x_{01}$  and  $x_{23}$  respectively. This  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure is irreducible and type 1.*

**Remark 6.3** [30, Remark 10.5] Combining Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2 we obtain a bijection between the following two sets:

- (i) the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible  $\boxtimes_q$ -modules of type 1;
- (ii) the isomorphism classes of NonNil finite-dimensional irreducible  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -modules of type  $(1, 1)$ .

## 7 Distance-regular graphs; preliminaries

We now turn our attention to distance-regular graphs. After a brief review of their basic properties we consider a special type said to be formally self-dual with classical parameters. From such a distance-regular graph we will obtain a  $\boxtimes_q$ -module.

We now review some definitions and basic concepts concerning distance-regular graphs. For more information we refer the reader to [1, 5, 23, 38].

Let  $X$  denote a nonempty finite set. Let  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra consisting of all matrices whose rows and columns are indexed by  $X$  and whose entries are in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^X$  denote the vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  consisting of column vectors whose coordinates are indexed by  $X$  and whose entries are in  $\mathbb{C}$ . We observe  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  acts on  $V$  by left multiplication. We call  $V$  the *standard module*. We endow  $V$  with the Hermitean inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  that satisfies  $\langle u, v \rangle = u^t \bar{v}$  for  $u, v \in V$ , where  $t$  denotes transpose and  $\bar{\cdot}$  denotes complex conjugation. For all  $y \in X$ , let  $\hat{y}$  denote the element of  $V$  with a 1 in the  $y$  coordinate and 0 in all other coordinates. We observe  $\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$ .

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set  $X$  and edge set  $R$ . Let  $\partial$  denote the path-length distance function for  $\Gamma$ , and set  $D := \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ . We call  $D$  the *diameter* of  $\Gamma$ . For an integer  $k \geq 0$  we say that  $\Gamma$  is *regular with valency  $k$*  whenever each vertex of  $\Gamma$  is adjacent to

exactly  $k$  distinct vertices of  $\Gamma$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ) and for all vertices  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h = |\{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i, \partial(z, y) = j\}|$$

is independent of  $x$  and  $y$ . The  $p_{ij}^h$  are called the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ . We abbreviate  $c_i = p_{1, i-1}^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq D$ ),  $b_i = p_{1, i+1}^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D-1$ ),  $a_i = p_{1i}^i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ).

For the rest of this paper we assume  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular; to avoid trivialities we always assume  $D \geq 3$ . Note that  $\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k = b_0$ . Moreover  $k = c_i + a_i + b_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ , where  $c_0 = 0$  and  $b_D = 0$ .

We mention a fact for later use. By the triangle inequality, for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$  we have  $p_{ij}^h = 0$  (resp.  $p_{ij}^h \neq 0$ ) whenever one of  $h, i, j$  is greater than (resp. equal to) the sum of the other two.

We recall the Bose-Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $A_i$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(x, y)$ -entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i \\ 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

We call  $A_i$  the  $i$ th *distance matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . The matrix  $A_1$  is often called the *adjacency matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . We observe (i)  $A_0 = I$ ; (ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^D A_i = J$ ; (iii)  $\overline{A_i} = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (iv)  $A_i^t = A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (v)  $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^D p_{ij}^h A_h$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ), where  $I$  (resp.  $J$ ) denotes the identity matrix (resp. all 1's matrix) in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ . Using these facts we find  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$  is a basis for a commutative subalgebra  $M$  of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ , called the *Bose-Mesner algebra* of  $\Gamma$ . It turns out that  $A_1$  generates  $M$  [1, p. 190]. By [5, p. 45],  $M$  has a second basis  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  such that (i)  $E_0 = |X|^{-1} J$ ; (ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^D E_i = I$ ; (iii)  $\overline{E_i} = E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (iv)  $E_i^t = E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (v)  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ). We call  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  the *primitive idempotents* of  $\Gamma$ .

We recall the eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  form a basis for  $M$  there exist complex scalars  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$  such that  $A_1 = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i E_i$ . Observe  $A_1 E_i = E_i A_1 = \theta_i E_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ . By [1, p. 197] the scalars  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$  are in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Observe  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$  are mutually distinct since  $A_1$  generates  $M$ . We call  $\theta_i$  the *eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ). Observe

$$V = E_0 V + E_1 V + \dots + E_D V \quad (\text{orthogonal direct sum}).$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  the space  $E_i V$  is the eigenspace of  $A_1$  associated with  $\theta_i$ .

We now recall the Krein parameters. Let  $\circ$  denote the entrywise product in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ . Observe  $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ , so  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ . Thus there exist complex scalars  $q_{ij}^h$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ) such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D).$$

By [3, p. 170],  $q_{ij}^h$  is real and nonnegative for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ . The  $q_{ij}^h$  are called the *Krein parameters* of  $\Gamma$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *Q-polynomial* (with respect to the given

ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents) whenever for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ,  $q_{ij}^h = 0$  (resp.  $q_{ij}^h \neq 0$ ) whenever one of  $h, i, j$  is greater than (resp. equal to) the sum of the other two [5, p. 235]. See [6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 34] for background information on the  $Q$ -polynomial property. For the rest of this section we assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ .

We recall the dual Bose-Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$ . For the rest of this paper we fix a vertex  $x \in X$ . We view  $x$  as a “base vertex.” For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry

$$(E_i^*)_{yy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i \\ 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (y \in X). \quad (2)$$

We call  $E_i^*$  the  $i$ th *dual idempotent* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  [38, p. 378]. We observe (i)  $\sum_{i=0}^D E_i^* = I$ ; (ii)  $\overline{E_i^*} = E_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (iii)  $E_i^{*t} = E_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (iv)  $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{ij} E_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ). By these facts  $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$  form a basis for a commutative subalgebra  $M^* = M^*(x)$  of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ . We call  $M^*$  the *dual Bose-Mesner algebra* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  [38, p. 378]. For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $A_i^* = A_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $(y, y)$ -entry  $(A_i^*)_{yy} = |X|(E_i)_{xy}$  for  $y \in X$ . Then  $A_0^*, A_1^*, \dots, A_D^*$  is a basis for  $M^*$  [38, p. 379]. Moreover (i)  $A_0^* = I$ ; (ii)  $\overline{A_i^*} = A_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (iii)  $A_i^{*t} = A_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ); (iv)  $A_i^* A_j^* = \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h A_h^*$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ) [38, p. 379]. We call  $A_0^*, A_1^*, \dots, A_D^*$  the *dual distance matrices* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ . The matrix  $A_1^*$  is often called the *dual adjacency matrix* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ . The matrix  $A_1^*$  generates  $M^*$  [38, Lemma 3.11].

We recall the dual eigenvalues of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $E_0^*, E_1^*, \dots, E_D^*$  form a basis for  $M^*$  there exist complex scalars  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  such that  $A_1^* = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i^* E_i^*$ . Observe  $A_1^* E_i^* = E_i^* A_1^* = \theta_i^* E_i^*$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ . By [38, Lemma 3.11] the scalars  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  are in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The scalars  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  are mutually distinct since  $A_1^*$  generates  $M^*$ . We call  $\theta_i^*$  the *dual eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E_i^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ).

We recall the subconstituents of  $\Gamma$ . From (2) we find

$$E_i^* V = \text{span}\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X, \partial(x, y) = i\} \quad (0 \leq i \leq D). \quad (3)$$

By (3) and since  $\{\hat{y} \mid y \in X\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V$  we find

$$V = E_0^* V + E_1^* V + \dots + E_D^* V \quad (\text{orthogonal direct sum}).$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  the space  $E_i^* V$  is the eigenspace of  $A_1^*$  associated with  $\theta_i^*$ . We call  $E_i^* V$  the  $i$ th *subconstituent* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ .

We recall the subconstituent algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $T = T(x)$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  generated by  $M$  and  $M^*$ . We call  $T$  the *subconstituent algebra* (or *Terwilliger algebra*) of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$  [38, Definition 3.3]. Observe that  $T$  has finite dimension. Moreover  $T$  is semisimple since it is closed under the conjugate transpose map [15, p. 157]. By [38, Lemma 3.2] the following are relations in  $T$ :

$$E_h^* A_i E_j^* = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad p_{ij}^h = 0, \quad (0 \leq h, i, j \leq D), \quad (4)$$

$$E_h A_i^* E_j = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad q_{ij}^h = 0, \quad (0 \leq h, i, j \leq D). \quad (5)$$

See [10, 11, 14, 19, 21, 22, 26, 36, 38, 39, 40] for more information on the subconstituent algebra.

We recall the  $T$ -modules. By a  $T$ -module we mean a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  such that  $BW \subseteq W$  for all  $B \in T$ . Let  $W$  denote a  $T$ -module and let  $W'$  denote a  $T$ -module contained in  $W$ . Then the orthogonal complement of  $W'$  in  $W$  is a  $T$ -module [22, p. 802]. It follows that each  $T$ -module is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules. In particular  $V$  is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules.

Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. Observe that  $W$  is the direct sum of the nonzero spaces among  $E_0^*W, \dots, E_D^*W$ . Similarly  $W$  is the direct sum of the nonzero spaces among  $E_0W, \dots, E_DW$ . By the *endpoint* of  $W$  we mean  $\min\{i | 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^*W \neq 0\}$ . By the *diameter* of  $W$  we mean  $|\{i | 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^*W \neq 0\}| - 1$ . By the *dual endpoint* of  $W$  we mean  $\min\{i | 0 \leq i \leq D, E_iW \neq 0\}$ . By the *dual diameter* of  $W$  we mean  $|\{i | 0 \leq i \leq D, E_iW \neq 0\}| - 1$ . It turns out that the diameter of  $W$  is equal to the dual diameter of  $W$  [34, Corollary 3.3]. We finish this section with a comment.

**Lemma 7.1** [38, Lemma 3.4, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.12] *Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $\rho$ , dual endpoint  $\tau$ , and diameter  $d$ . Then  $\rho, \tau, d$  are nonnegative integers such that  $\rho + d \leq D$  and  $\tau + d \leq D$ . Moreover the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

- (i)  $E_i^*W \neq 0$  if and only if  $\rho \leq i \leq \rho + d$ ,  $(0 \leq i \leq D)$ .
- (ii)  $W = \sum_{h=0}^d E_{\rho+h}^*W$  (orthogonal direct sum).
- (iii)  $E_iW \neq 0$  if and only if  $\tau \leq i \leq \tau + d$ ,  $(0 \leq i \leq D)$ .
- (iv)  $W = \sum_{h=0}^d E_{\tau+h}W$  (orthogonal direct sum).

## 8 A restriction on the intersection numbers

From now on we impose the following restriction on the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$ .

**Assumption 8.1** We fix  $b, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $b \neq 1$ , and assume  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $\alpha = b - 1$ . This means that the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= b^{i-1} \frac{b^i - 1}{b - 1}, \\ b_i &= (\beta + 1 - b^i) \frac{b^D - b^i}{b - 1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq D$  [5, p. 193]. We remark that  $b$  is an integer and  $b \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq -1$  [5, Proposition 6.2.1]. For notational convenience we fix  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$b = q^2.$$

We note that  $q$  is nonzero and not a root of unity.

**Remark 8.2** Referring to Assumption 8.1, the restriction  $\alpha = b-1$  implies that  $\Gamma$  is formally self-dual [5, Corollary 8.4.4]. Consequently there exists an ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , with respect to which the Krein parameter  $q_{ij}^h$  is equal to the intersection number  $p_{ij}^h$  for  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ . In particular  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ . We fix this ordering of the primitive idempotents for the rest of the paper.

**Remark 8.3** In the notation of Bannai and Ito [1, p. 263], the  $Q$ -polynomial structure from Remark 8.2 is type I with  $s = 0, s^* = 0$ .

**Example 8.4** The following distance-regular graphs satisfy Assumption 8.1: the bilinear forms graph [5, p. 280], the alternating forms graph [5, p. 282], the Hermitean forms graph [5, p. 285], the quadratic forms graph [5, p. 290], the affine  $E_6$  graph [5, p. 340], and the extended ternary Golay code graph [5, p. 359].

With reference to Assumption 8.1 we will display an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ . To describe this action we define eight matrices in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ , called

$$A, \quad A^*, \quad B, \quad B^*, \quad K, \quad K^*, \quad \Phi, \quad \Psi. \quad (6)$$

These matrices will be defined in the next two sections.

## 9 The matrices $A$ and $A^*$

In this section we define the matrices  $A, A^*$  and discuss their properties. We start with a comment.

**Lemma 9.1** [5, Corollary 8.4.4] *With reference to Assumption 8.1, there exist  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that each of  $\theta_i, \theta_i^*$  is  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 q^{D-2i}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ . Moreover  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ .*

**Definition 9.2** With reference to Assumption 8.1 we define  $A, A^* \in \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 A, \\ A_1^* &= \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 A^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$  are from Lemma 9.1. Thus for  $0 \leq i \leq D$  the space  $E_i V$  (resp.  $E_i^* V$ ) is an eigenspace of  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) with eigenvalue  $q^{D-2i}$ .

**Lemma 9.3** *With reference to Assumption 8.1 and Definition 9.2, the following (i), (ii) hold for all  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$  such that  $|i - j| > 1$ :*

$$(i) \quad E_i^* A E_j^* = 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad E_i A^* E_j = 0.$$

*Proof:* (i) We have  $p_{1j}^i = 0$  since  $|i - j| > 1$ , so  $E_i^* A_1 E_j^* = 0$  in view of (4). The result now follows using the first equation of Definition 9.2.

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. □

The following is essentially a special case of [40, Lemma 5.4].

**Lemma 9.4** [40, Lemma 5.4] *With reference to Assumption 8.1 and Definition 9.2 the matrices  $A, A^*$  satisfy the  $q$ -Serre relations*

$$A^3 A^* - [3]_q A^2 A^* A + [3]_q A A^* A^2 - A^* A^3 = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$A^{*3} A - [3]_q A^{*2} A A^* + [3]_q A^* A A^{*2} - A A^{*3} = 0. \quad (8)$$

*Proof:* We first show (7). By the last sentence in Definition 9.2, for  $0 \leq i \leq D$  we have  $A E_i = E_i A = \sigma_i E_i$  where  $\sigma_i = q^{D-2i}$ . Let  $C$  denote the expression on the left in (7). We show  $C = 0$ . Since  $I = E_0 + \dots + E_D$  it suffices to show  $E_i C E_j = 0$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ . Let  $i, j$  be given. By our preliminary comment and the definition of  $C$  we find  $E_i C E_j = E_i A^* E_j \alpha_{ij}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{ij} &= \sigma_i^3 - [3]_q \sigma_i^2 \sigma_j + [3]_q \sigma_i \sigma_j^2 - \sigma_j^3 \\ &= (\sigma_i - \sigma_j q^2)(\sigma_i - \sigma_j)(\sigma_i - \sigma_j q^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If  $|i - j| > 1$  then  $E_i A^* E_j = 0$  by Lemma 9.3(ii). If  $|i - j| \leq 1$  then  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  by (9) and the definition of  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_D$ . In either case  $E_i C E_j = 0$  as desired. It follows that  $C = 0$  and line (7) is proved. The proof of (8) is similar to the proof of (7).  $\square$

We finish this section with a comment.

**Lemma 9.5** *With reference to Assumption 8.1 and Definition 9.2 the matrices  $A, A^*$  together generate  $T$ .*

*Proof:* By definition  $T$  is generated by  $M$  and  $M^*$ . The algebra  $M$  (resp.  $M^*$ ) is generated by  $A_1$  (resp.  $A_1^*$ ) and hence by  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) in view of Definition 9.2. The result follows.  $\square$

## 10 The matrices $B, B^*, K, K^*, \Phi, \Psi$

In the previous section we defined the matrices  $A, A^*$ . In this section we define the remaining matrices from the list (6).

**Definition 10.1** With reference to Assumption 8.1, for  $-1 \leq i, j \leq D$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} V_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow} &= (E_0^* V + \dots + E_i^* V) \cap (E_0 V + \dots + E_j V), \\ V_{i,j}^{\uparrow\downarrow} &= (E_D^* V + \dots + E_{D-i}^* V) \cap (E_0 V + \dots + E_j V), \\ V_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow} &= (E_0^* V + \dots + E_i^* V) \cap (E_D V + \dots + E_{D-j} V), \\ V_{i,j}^{\uparrow\uparrow} &= (E_D^* V + \dots + E_{D-i}^* V) \cap (E_D V + \dots + E_{D-j} V). \end{aligned}$$

In each of the above four equations we interpret the right-hand side to be 0 if  $i = -1$  or  $j = -1$ .

**Definition 10.2** With reference to Assumption 8.1 and Definition 10.1, for  $\eta, \mu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$  and  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$  we have  $V_{i-1,j}^{\eta\mu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\eta\mu}$  and  $V_{i,j-1}^{\eta\mu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\eta\mu}$ . Therefore

$$V_{i-1,j}^{\eta\mu} + V_{i,j-1}^{\eta\mu} \subseteq V_{i,j}^{\eta\mu}.$$

Referring to the above inclusion, we define  $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\eta\mu}$  to be the orthogonal complement of the left-hand side in the right-hand side; that is

$$\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\eta\mu} = (V_{i-1,j}^{\eta\mu} + V_{i,j-1}^{\eta\mu})^\perp \cap V_{i,j}^{\eta\mu}.$$

The following result is a mild generalization of [41, Corollary 5.8].

**Lemma 10.3** *With reference to Assumption 8.1 and Definition 10.2 the following holds for  $\eta, \mu \in \{\downarrow, \uparrow\}$ :*

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^D \sum_{j=0}^D \tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\eta\mu} \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

*Proof:* For  $\eta = \downarrow, \mu = \downarrow$  this is just [41, Corollary 5.8]. For general values of  $\eta, \mu$ , in the proof of [41, Corollary 5.8] replace the sequence  $E_0^*, \dots, E_D^*$  (resp.  $E_0, \dots, E_D$ ) by  $E_D^*, \dots, E_0^*$  (resp.  $E_D, \dots, E_0$ ) if  $\eta = \uparrow$  (resp.  $\mu = \uparrow$ ).  $\square$

**Definition 10.4** With reference to Assumption 8.1 and Definition 10.2, we define  $B, B^*, K, K^*, \Phi, \Psi$  to be the unique matrices in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  that satisfy the requirements of the following table for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ .

| The matrix          | is 0 on                                  |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| $B - q^{i-j}I$      | $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$     |
| $B^* - q^{j-i}I$    | $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$   |
| $K - q^{i-j}I$      | $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ |
| $K^* - q^{i-j}I$    | $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow}$   |
| $\Phi - q^{i+j-D}I$ | $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ |
| $\Psi - q^{i+j-D}I$ | $\tilde{V}_{i,j}^{\downarrow\uparrow}$   |

## 11 An action of $\boxtimes_q$ on the standard module of $\Gamma$

We now state our main result, in which we display an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module  $V$  of  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 11.1** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, there exists a  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on  $V$  such that the generators  $x_{ij}$  act as follows:*

| generator     | $x_{01}$         | $x_{12}$     | $x_{23}$      | $x_{30}$       | $x_{02}$     | $x_{13}$  |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| action on $V$ | $A\Phi\Psi^{-1}$ | $B\Phi^{-1}$ | $A^*\Phi\Psi$ | $B^*\Phi^{-1}$ | $K\Psi^{-1}$ | $K^*\Psi$ |

The proof of Theorem 11.1 is given at the end of this section. First we need some lemmas.

**Lemma 11.2** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $\rho$ , dual endpoint  $\tau$ , and diameter  $d$ . Then there exists a unique  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on  $W$  such that the generators  $x_{01}$ ,  $x_{23}$  act as  $Aq^{d-D+2\tau}$ ,  $A^*q^{d-D+2\rho}$  respectively. This  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure is irreducible and type 1.*

*Proof:* The matrices  $A, A^*$  satisfy the  $q$ -Serre relations (7), (8). These relations are homogeneous so they still hold if  $A, A^*$  are replaced by  $Aq^{d-D+2\tau}$ ,  $A^*q^{d-D+2\rho}$  respectively. Therefore there exists an  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module structure on  $W$  such that the standard generators act as  $Aq^{d-D+2\tau}$  and  $A^*q^{d-D+2\rho}$ . The  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module  $W$  is irreducible since  $A, A^*$  generate  $T$  and since the  $T$ -module  $W$  is irreducible. By Lemma 7.1(iii),(iv) the action of  $A$  on  $W$  is semisimple with eigenvalues  $q^{D-2\tau-2i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). Therefore the action of  $Aq^{d-D+2\tau}$  on  $W$  is semisimple with eigenvalues  $q^{d-2i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). By Lemma 7.1(i),(ii) the action of  $A^*$  on  $W$  is semisimple with eigenvalues  $q^{D-2\rho-2i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). Therefore the action of  $A^*q^{d-D+2\rho}$  on  $W$  is semisimple with eigenvalues  $q^{d-2i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). By these comments and the first paragraph of Section 6 the  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module  $W$  is NonNil and type (1, 1). So far we have shown that the  $\mathcal{A}_q$ -module  $W$  is irreducible, NonNil, and type (1, 1). Combining this with Theorem 6.2 we obtain the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.3** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $\rho$ , dual endpoint  $\tau$ , and diameter  $d$ . Consider the  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on  $W$  from Lemma 11.2. For each generator  $x_{rs}$  of  $\boxtimes_q$  and for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , the eigenspace of  $x_{rs}$  on  $W$  associated with the eigenvalue  $q^{d-2i}$  is given in the following table.*

| $r$ | $s$ | eigenspace of $x_{rs}$ for the eigenvalue $q^{d-2i}$                                   |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0   | 1   | $E_{\tau+i}W$                                                                          |
| 1   | 2   | $(E_\rho^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d-i}^*W) \cap (E_{\tau+d-i}W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d}W)$   |
| 2   | 3   | $E_{\rho+i}^*W$                                                                        |
| 3   | 0   | $(E_{\rho+d-i}^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d}^*W) \cap (E_\tau W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d-i}W)$  |
| 0   | 2   | $(E_\rho^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d-i}^*W) \cap (E_\tau W + \cdots + E_{\tau+i}W)$        |
| 1   | 3   | $(E_{\rho+i}^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d}^*W) \cap (E_{\tau+d-i}W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d}W)$ |

*Proof:* Referring to the table, we first verify row  $(r, s) = (0, 1)$ . By Lemma 11.2 the generator  $x_{01}$  acts on  $W$  as  $Aq^{d-D+2\tau}$ . By Lemma 7.1(iii),(iv) the space  $E_{\tau+i}W$  is the eigenspace of  $A$  on  $W$  for the eigenvalue  $q^{D-2\tau-2i}$ . By these comments  $E_{\tau+i}W$  is the eigenspace of  $x_{01}$  on  $W$  for the eigenvalue  $q^{d-2i}$ . We have now verified row  $(r, s) = (0, 1)$ . Next we verify row  $(r, s) = (2, 3)$ . By Lemma 11.2 the generator  $x_{23}$  acts on  $W$  as  $A^*q^{d-D+2\rho}$ . By Lemma 7.1(i),(ii) the space  $E_{\rho+i}^*W$  is the eigenspace of  $A^*$  on  $W$  for the eigenvalue  $q^{D-2\rho-2i}$ . By these comments  $E_{\rho+i}^*W$  is the eigenspace of  $x_{23}$  on  $W$  for the eigenvalue  $q^{d-2i}$ . We have now verified row  $(r, s) = (2, 3)$ . The remaining rows are valid by [30, Theorem 16.4].  $\square$

The following result is a mild generalization of [41, Lemma 6.1].

**Lemma 11.4** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $\rho$ , dual endpoint  $\tau$ , and diameter  $d$ . Then the following (i)–(iv) hold for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .*

(i) The space

$$(E_\rho^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d-i}^*W) \cap (E_{\tau+d-i}W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d}W)$$

is contained in  $\tilde{V}_{\rho+d-i, D-d-\tau+i}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ .

(ii) The space

$$(E_{\rho+d-i}^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d}^*W) \cap (E_\tau W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d-i}W)$$

is contained in  $\tilde{V}_{D-d-\rho+i, \tau+d-i}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ .

(iii) The space

$$(E_\rho^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d-i}^*W) \cap (E_\tau W + \cdots + E_{\tau+i}W)$$

is contained in  $\tilde{V}_{\rho+d-i, \tau+i}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ .

(iv) The space

$$(E_{\rho+i}^*W + \cdots + E_{\rho+d}^*W) \cap (E_{\tau+d-i}W + \cdots + E_{\tau+d}W)$$

is contained in  $\tilde{V}_{D-\rho-i, D-d-\tau+i}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ .

*Proof:* Assertion (iii) is just [41, Lemma 6.1]. To get (i), in the proof of [41, Lemma 6.1] replace the sequence  $E_0, \dots, E_D$  by  $E_D, \dots, E_0$ . To get (ii), in the proof of [41, Lemma 6.1] replace  $E_0^*, \dots, E_D^*$  by  $E_D^*, \dots, E_0^*$ . To get (iv), in the proof of [41, Lemma 6.1] replace  $E_0^*, \dots, E_D^*$  (resp.  $E_0, \dots, E_D$ ) by  $E_D^*, \dots, E_0^*$  (resp.  $E_D, \dots, E_0$ ).  $\square$

**Lemma 11.5** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $\rho$ , dual endpoint  $\tau$ , and diameter  $d$ . Consider the  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on  $W$  from Lemma 11.2. In the table below, each row contains a matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  and an element of  $\boxtimes_q$ . The action of these two objects on  $W$  coincide.*

| matrix | element of $\boxtimes_q$  |
|--------|---------------------------|
| $A$    | $q^{D-d-2\tau}x_{01}$     |
| $B$    | $q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{12}$ |
| $A^*$  | $q^{D-d-2\rho}x_{23}$     |
| $B^*$  | $q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{30}$ |
| $K$    | $q^{\rho-\tau}x_{02}$     |
| $K^*$  | $q^{\tau-\rho}x_{13}$     |
| $\Phi$ | $q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}1$      |
| $\Psi$ | $q^{\rho-\tau}1$          |

*Proof:* By Lemma 11.2 the expressions  $A - q^{D-d-2\tau}x_{01}$  and  $A^* - q^{D-d-2\rho}x_{23}$  are each 0 on  $W$ . Next we show that  $B - q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{12}$  is 0 on  $W$ . To this end we pick  $w \in W$  and show  $Bw = q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{12}w$ . Recall that  $x_{12}$  is semisimple on  $W$  with eigenvalues  $q^{d-2i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that there exists an integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) such that  $x_{12}w = q^{d-2i}w$ . By row  $(r, s) = (1, 2)$  in the table of Lemma 11.3 and by Lemma 11.4(i), we find  $w \in \tilde{V}_{\rho+d-i, D-d-\tau+i}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ . By this and the first row in the table of Definition 10.4 we find  $Bw = q^{2d-D+\rho+\tau-2i}w$ . From these comments we find  $Bw = q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{12}w$  as desired. We have now shown that  $B - q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{12}$  is 0 on  $W$ . Similarly one shows that each of  $B^* - q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}x_{30}$ ,  $K - q^{\rho-\tau}x_{02}$ ,  $K^* - q^{\tau-\rho}x_{13}$  is 0 on  $W$ . We now show that  $\Phi - q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}I$  is 0 on  $W$ . To this end we pick  $v \in W$  and show  $\Phi v = q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}v$ . Recall that  $x_{02}$  is semisimple on  $W$  with eigenvalues  $q^{d-2i}$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ). Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that there exists an integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) such that  $x_{02}v = q^{d-2i}v$ . By row  $(r, s) = (0, 2)$  in the table of Lemma 11.3 and by Lemma 11.4(iii), we find  $v \in \tilde{V}_{\rho+d-i, \tau+i}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ . By this and the second to the last row in the table of Definition 10.4 we find  $\Phi v = q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}v$  as desired. We have now shown that  $\Phi - q^{d-D+\rho+\tau}I$  is 0 on  $W$ . Similarly one shows that  $\Psi - q^{\rho-\tau}I$  is 0 on  $W$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 11.6** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module and consider the  $\boxtimes_q$ -action on  $W$  from Lemma 11.2. In the table below, each column contains a generator for  $\boxtimes_q$  and a matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$ . The action of these two objects on  $W$  coincide.*

| generator | $x_{01}$         | $x_{12}$     | $x_{23}$      | $x_{30}$       | $x_{02}$     | $x_{13}$  |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| matrix    | $A\Phi\Psi^{-1}$ | $B\Phi^{-1}$ | $A^*\Phi\Psi$ | $B^*\Phi^{-1}$ | $K\Psi^{-1}$ | $K^*\Psi$ |

*Proof:* Immediate from Lemma 11.5.  $\square$

It is now a simple matter to prove Theorem 11.1.

*Proof of Theorem 11.1:* The standard module  $V$  decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules. Each irreducible  $T$ -module in this decomposition supports a  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure from Lemma 11.2. Combining these  $\boxtimes_q$ -modules we get a  $\boxtimes_q$ -module structure on  $V$ . It remains to show that this  $\boxtimes_q$ -module satisfies the requirements of Theorem 11.1. This is the case since by Corollary 11.6, for each column in the table of Theorem 11.1 the given  $\boxtimes_q$  generator and the matrix beneath it coincide on each of the irreducible  $T$ -modules in the above decomposition and hence on  $V$ .  $\square$

**Remark 11.7** In Theorem 11.1 we displayed an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module  $V$  of  $\Gamma$ . In Proposition 4.3 we displayed four  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphisms from  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  to  $\boxtimes_q$ . Using these homomorphisms to pull back the  $\boxtimes_q$ -action we obtain four  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module structures on  $V$ .

## 12 How $\boxtimes_q$ is related to $T$

In Theorem 11.1 we displayed an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ ; observe that this action induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\boxtimes_q \rightarrow \text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  which we will denote by  $\vartheta$ .

In this section we clarify how the image  $\vartheta(\boxtimes_q)$  is related to the subconstituent algebra  $T$ .

**Lemma 12.1** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) *Each of the matrices from the list (6) is contained in  $T$ .*

(ii) *Each of  $\Phi, \Psi$  is contained in the center  $Z(T)$ .*

*Proof:* (i) By Lemma 11.5 each matrix in the list (6) leaves invariant every irreducible  $T$ -module. Let  $T'$  denote the set of matrices in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  that leave invariant every irreducible  $T$ -module. We observe that  $T'$  is a subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  that contains  $T$  as well as each matrix in the list (6). We show that  $T = T'$ . To this end we first show that  $T'$  is semisimple. By the construction each irreducible  $T$ -module is an irreducible  $T'$ -module. We mentioned in Section 7 that the standard module  $V$  is a direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules. Therefore  $V$  is a direct sum of irreducible  $T'$ -modules, so  $T'$  is semisimple. Next, let  $W_1, W_2$  denote irreducible  $T$ -modules. We claim that any isomorphism of  $T$ -modules  $\gamma : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$  is an isomorphism of  $T'$ -modules. This is readily checked using the fact that  $\{w + \gamma(w) \mid w \in W_1\}$  is an irreducible  $T$ -module and therefore invariant under  $T'$ . By our above comments the vector spaces  $T$  and  $T'$  have the same dimension; this dimension is  $\sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda}^2$  where the sum is over all isomorphism classes  $\lambda$  of irreducible  $T$ -modules and  $d_{\lambda}$  denotes the dimension of an irreducible  $T$ -module in the isomorphism class  $\lambda$ . Since  $T'$  contains  $T$  and they have the same dimension we find  $T = T'$ . The result follows.

(ii) By Lemma 11.5 each of  $\Phi, \Psi$  acts as a scalar multiple of the identity on every irreducible  $T$ -module.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.2** *With reference to Assumption 8.1 the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) *The image  $\vartheta(\boxtimes_q)$  is contained in  $T$ .*

(ii)  *$T$  is generated by  $\vartheta(\boxtimes_q)$  together with  $\Phi, \Psi$ .*

*Proof:* Combine Lemma 9.5, Theorem 11.1, and Lemma 12.1.  $\square$

## 13 Directions for further research

In this section we give some suggestions for further research.

**Problem 13.1** For the spaces in Definition 10.1, find a combinatorial interpretation and an attractive basis.

**Problem 13.2** With reference to Assumption 8.1, the matrices  $\Phi, \Psi$  commute by Lemma 12.1(ii) and they are semisimple by Definition 10.4. Therefore the standard module of  $\Gamma$  decomposes into a direct sum of their common eigenspaces. For these common eigenspaces find a combinatorial interpretation and an attractive basis.

**Problem 13.3** With reference to Assumption 8.1, for  $y, z \in X$  and for each of  $B, B^*, K, K^*, \Phi, \Psi$  find the  $(y, z)$ -entry in terms of the distances  $\partial(x, y), \partial(y, z), \partial(z, x)$  ( $x =$  base vertex from Section 7) and other combinatorial parameters as needed. When is this entry 0?

**Problem 13.4** Find all the distance-regular graphs that have classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $b \neq 1, \alpha = b - 1$ . Some examples are given in Example 8.4.

**Problem 13.5** The finite-dimensional irreducible  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -modules are classified by V. Chari and A. Pressley [9]; see also [18], [37]. Use this and Remark 11.7 to describe the irreducible  $T$ -modules for each of the graphs in Example 8.4.

**Conjecture 13.6** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, for  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$  the spaces  $\tilde{V}_{ij}^{\downarrow\downarrow}$  and  $\tilde{V}_{rs}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$  are orthogonal unless  $i + r = D$  and  $j + s = D$ . Moreover  $\tilde{V}_{ij}^{\downarrow\uparrow}$  and  $\tilde{V}_{rs}^{\uparrow\downarrow}$  are orthogonal unless  $i + r = D$  and  $j + s = D$ .*

**Problem 13.7** With reference to Assumption 8.1, note by Lemma 11.5 that the following are equivalent: (i) for each irreducible  $T$ -module the endpoint and dual endpoint coincide; (ii)  $\Psi = I$ . For which of the graphs in Example 8.4 do these equivalent conditions hold?

**Conjecture 13.8** *With reference to Assumption 8.1, each of  $\Phi, \Psi$  is symmetric and*

$$B^t = B^*, \quad K^t = K^{*-1}.$$

Under Assumption 8.1 we displayed an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ . For the moment replace Assumption 8.1 by the weaker assumption that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. We suspect that there is still a natural action of  $\boxtimes_q$  (or  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2), U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2, \mathfrak{sl}_2, \dots$  in degenerate cases) on the standard module of  $\Gamma$ . It is premature for us to guess how this action behaves in every case, but the general idea is conveyed in the following two conjectures.

**Conjecture 13.9** *Assume  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $b \neq 1$ . In order to avoid degenerate situations, assume that  $\Gamma$  is not a dual polar graph [5, p. 274]. Then for  $b = q^2$  there exists a  $\boxtimes_q$ -action on the standard module of  $\Gamma$  for which the adjacency matrix acts as a  $Z(T)$ -linear combination of  $1, x_{01}, x_{12}$  and the dual adjacency matrix acts as a  $Z(T)$ -linear combination of  $1, x_{23}$ . We recall that  $Z(T)$  denotes the center of  $T$ .*

**Conjecture 13.10** *Assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial, with eigenvalues  $\theta_i$  and dual eigenvalues  $\theta_i^*$ . Recall that the expressions*

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*}$$

*are equal and independent of  $i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq D - 1$  [1, p. 263]. Denote this common value by  $b + b^{-1} + 1$  and assume that  $b$  is not a root of unity. Further assume that, in the notation of Bannai and Ito [1, p. 263], the given  $Q$ -polynomial structure is type I with  $s \neq 0$  and  $s^* \neq 0$ . Then for  $b = q^2$  there exists a  $\boxtimes_q$ -action on the standard module of  $\Gamma$  for which the adjacency matrix acts as a  $Z(T)$ -linear combination of  $1, x_{01}, x_{12}$  and the dual adjacency matrix acts as a  $Z(T)$ -linear combination of  $1, x_{23}, x_{30}$ .*

**Problem 13.11** A uniform poset [43] is ranked and has an algebraic structure similar to that of a  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graph. In [43, p. 200] 11 infinite families of uniform posets are given. For some uniform posets  $P$  it might be possible to adapt the method of the present paper to get an action of  $\boxtimes_q$  on the standard module of  $P$ .

## 14 Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Brian Curtin, Eric Egge, Mark MacLean, and Arlene Pascasio for giving this manuscript a close reading and offering many valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*. Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
- [2] G. Benkart and P. Terwilliger. The universal central extension of the three-point  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, accepted. [arXiv:math.RA/0512422](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.RA/0512422).
- [3] N. Biggs *Algebraic Graph Theory. Second edition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [4] M. Bremner. Generalized affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras over localizations of the polynomial ring in one variable. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **37** (1994), 21–28.
- [5] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-Regular Graphs*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] A. E. Brouwer, C. D. Godsil, J. H. Koolen, W. J. Martin. Width and dual width of subsets in polynomial association schemes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **102** (2003), 255–271.
- [7] J. S. Caughman IV. Spectra of bipartite  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes. *Graphs Combin.* **14** (1998), 321–343.
- [8] J. S. Caughman IV. The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes. *Discrete Math.* **196** (1999), 65–95.
- [9] V. Chari and A. Pressley. Quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), 261–283.
- [10] B. Curtin. Bipartite distance-regular graphs I. *Graphs Combin.* **15** (1999), 143–158.
- [11] B. Curtin. Bipartite distance-regular graphs II. *Graphs Combin.* **15** (1999), 377–391.
- [12] B. Curtin. 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs. *Discrete Math.* **187** (1998), 39–70.

- [13] B. Curtin. Distance-regular graphs which support a spin model are thin. *16th British Combinatorial Conference (London, 1997)*. Discrete Math. **197/198** (1999), 205–216.
- [14] B. Curtin and K. Nomura. Distance-regular graphs related to the quantum enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . *J. Algebraic Combin.* **12** (2000), 25–36.
- [15] C. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*. Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [16] G. Dickie. Twice  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs are thin. *European J. Combin.* **16** (1995), 555–560.
- [17] G. Dickie and P. Terwilliger. A note on thin  $P$ -polynomial and dual-thin  $Q$ -polynomial symmetric association schemes. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **7** (1998), 5–15.
- [18] J. Ding and I. B. Frenkel. Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$ . *Comm. Math. Phys.* **156** (1993), 277–300.
- [19] E. Egge. A generalization of the Terwilliger algebra. *J. Algebra* **233** (2000), 213–252.
- [20] A. Elduque. The  $S_4$ -action on the tetrahedron algebra. Preprint. [arXiv:math.RA/0604218](#)
- [21] J. T. Go. The Terwilliger algebra of the hypercube. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 399–429.
- [22] J. T. Go and P. Terwilliger. Tight distance-regular graphs and the subconstituent algebra. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 793–816.
- [23] C. D. Godsil. *Algebraic Combinatorics*. Chapman and Hall, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [24] B. Hartwig. The Tetrahedron algebra and its finite-dimensional irreducible modules. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, submitted. [arXiv:math.RT/0606197](#)
- [25] B. Hartwig and P. Terwilliger. The Tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra. *J. Algebra*, submitted. [arXiv:math-ph/0511004](#).
- [26] S. A. Hobart and T. Ito. The structure of nonthin irreducible  $T$ -modules: ladder bases and classical parameters. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **7** (1998), 53–75.
- [27] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ . *Ramanujan J.*, to appear; [arXiv:math.QA/0310042](#).
- [28] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic  $q$ -Serre relations. *J. Algebra Appl.*, submitted. [arXiv:math.QA/0508398](#).
- [29] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, and C. W. Weng. The quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and its equitable presentation. *J. Algebra* **298** (2006), 284–301. [arXiv:math.QA/0507477](#).
- [30] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra and its finite-dimensional irreducible modules. *Comm. Algebra*; accepted. [arXiv:math.QA/0602199](#).

- [31] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger.  $q$ -Inverting pairs of linear transformations and the  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra. *Linear Algebra Appl.*; submitted. [arXiv:math.RT/0606237](#).
- [32] C. Kassel. *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [33] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1990.
- [34] A. A. Pascasio. On the multiplicities of the primitive idempotents of a  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graph. *European J. Combin.* **23** (2002), 1073–1078.
- [35] A. A. Pascasio and P. Terwilliger. The Tetrahedron algebra and the Hamming graphs. In preparation.
- [36] K. Tanabe. The irreducible modules of the Terwilliger algebras of Doob schemes. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **6** (1997), 173–195.
- [37] V. O. Tarasov. Irreducible monodromy matrices for the  $R$ -matrix of the XXZ-model, and lattice local quantum Hamiltonians. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **63** (1985), 440–454.
- [38] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1** (1992), 363–388.
- [39] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme II. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993), 73–103.
- [40] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2** (1993), 177–210.
- [41] P. Terwilliger. The displacement and split decompositions for a  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graph. *Graphs Combin.* **21** (2005), 263–276. [arXiv:math.CO/0306142](#).
- [42] P. Terwilliger. The equitable presentation for the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . *J. Algebra* **298** (2006), 302–319. [arXiv:math.QA/0507478](#).
- [43] P. Terwilliger. The incidence algebra of a uniform poset. *Coding theory and design theory, Part I*, 193–212, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., **20**, Springer, New York, 1990.

Tatsuro Ito  
 Department of Computational Science  
 Faculty of Science  
 Kanazawa University  
 Kakuma-machi  
 Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan  
 email: [ito@kappa.s.kanazawa-u.ac.jp](mailto:ito@kappa.s.kanazawa-u.ac.jp)

Paul Terwilliger  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Wisconsin

480 Lincoln Drive  
Madison, WI 53706-1388 USA  
email: [terwilli@math.wisc.edu](mailto:terwilli@math.wisc.edu)